

Appl. No. 10/604,787

Amdt. dated August 28, 2005

Reply to Final Office Action of April 4, 2005 and

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief of July 28, 2005

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, and 13 remain in this application. Claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, and 14 have been withdrawn.

Pursuant to the accompanying Request for Continued Examination, please examine the narrowed claims for Claims 9, 12 and 13.

Claim 9 is amended to narrow the range of loft angles from between 48 and 55 degrees to between 48 and 53 degrees in order to overcome the rejection over the sand wedge disclosed by Maxel. The Maxel sand wedge has a loft angle of 54 degrees. Narrowing the range to between 48 and 53 degrees should overcome this rejection.

Claim 9 is further amended to narrow the range of lie angles from between 66 and 70 degrees to between 67 and 70 degrees in order to overcome the rejection over the sand wedge disclosed by Sherwood. The Sherwood sand wedge has a lie angle of 67 degrees. Narrowing the range to between 67 and 70 degrees should overcome this rejection.

Claim 9 is further amended to narrow the range of shaft lengths from between 34.5 and 37.5 inches to between 36.0 and 37.5 inches in order to overcome the rejection over the sand wedge disclosed by Hueber. The Hueber sand wedge has a shaft length of 35.5 inches. Narrowing the range to between 36.0 and 37.5 inches should overcome this rejection.

Appl. No. 10/604,787

Amdt. dated August 28, 2005

Reply to Final Office Action of April 4, 2005 and

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief of July 28, 2005

Claim 12 is rewritten in independent form in order to place it in allowable form in accordance with the Examiner's recommendation.

Claim 13 is amended to narrow the range of loft angles from between 34 and 55 degrees to between 34 and 53 degrees in order to overcome the rejection over the sand wedge disclosed by Maxel. The Maxel sand wedge has a loft angle of 54 degrees. Narrowing the range to between 34 and 53 degrees should overcome this rejection.

Claim 13 is further amended to narrow the range of lie angles from between 66 and 70 degrees to between 67 and 70 degrees in order to overcome the rejection over the sand wedge disclosed by Sherwood. The Sherwood sand wedge has a lie angle of 67 degrees. Narrowing the range to between 67 and 70 degrees should overcome this rejection.

Claim 13 is further amended to narrow the range of shaft lengths from between 34.5 and 37.5 inches to between 36.0 and 37.5 inches in order to overcome the rejection over the sand wedge disclosed by Hueber. The Hueber sand wedge has a shaft length of 35.5 inches. Narrowing the range to between 36.0 and 37.5 inches should overcome this rejection.

Appl. No. 10/604,787

Amdt. dated August 28, 2005

Reply to Final Office Action of April 4, 2005 and

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief of July 28, 2005

Please contact the undersigned at 860-930-3074 if you have any comments or questions.

Respectfully submitted,



Richard L. Bigelow, Esq.

Registration Number: 46,038

Phone: 860-930-3074

Attachment

Appl. No. 10/604,787

Amdt. dated August 28, 2005

Reply to Final Office Action of April 4, 2005 and

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief of July 28, 2005

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, and 13 remain in this application. Claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, and 14 have been withdrawn.

Pursuant to the accompanying Request for Continued Examination, please examine the narrowed claims for Claims 9, 12 and 13.

Claim 9 is amended to narrow the range of loft angles from between 48 and 55 degrees to between 48 and 53 degrees in order to overcome the rejection over the sand wedge disclosed by Maxel. The Maxel sand wedge has a loft angle of 54 degrees. Narrowing the range to between 48 and 53 degrees should overcome this rejection.

Claim 9 is further amended to narrow the range of lie angles from between 66 and 70 degrees to between 67 and 70 degrees in order to overcome the rejection over the sand wedge disclosed by Sherwood. The Sherwood sand wedge has a lie angle of 67 degrees. Narrowing the range to between 67 and 70 degrees should overcome this rejection.

Claim 9 is further amended to narrow the range of shaft lengths from between 34.5 and 37.5 inches to between 36.0 and 37.5 inches in order to overcome the rejection over the sand wedge disclosed by Hueber. The Hueber sand wedge has a shaft length of 35.5 inches. Narrowing the range to between 36.0 and 37.5 inches should overcome this rejection.

Appl. No. 10/604,787

Amdt. dated August 28, 2005

Reply to Final Office Action of April 4, 2005 and

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief of July 28, 2005

Claim 12 is rewritten in independent form in order to place it in allowable form in accordance with the Examiner's recommendation.

Claim 13 is amended to narrow the range of loft angles from between 34 and 55 degrees to between 34 and 53 degrees in order to overcome the rejection over the sand wedge disclosed by Maxel. The Maxel sand wedge has a loft angle of 54 degrees. Narrowing the range to between 34 and 53 degrees should overcome this rejection.

Claim 13 is further amended to narrow the range of lie angles from between 66 and 70 degrees to between 67 and 70 degrees in order to overcome the rejection over the sand wedge disclosed by Sherwood. The Sherwood sand wedge has a lie angle of 67 degrees. Narrowing the range to between 67 and 70 degrees should overcome this rejection.

Claim 13 is further amended to narrow the range of shaft lengths from between 34.5 and 37.5 inches to between 36.0 and 37.5 inches in order to overcome the rejection over the sand wedge disclosed by Hueber. The Hueber sand wedge has a shaft length of 35.5 inches. Narrowing the range to between 36.0 and 37.5 inches should overcome this rejection.

Appl. No. 10/604,787

Amdt. dated August 28, 2005

Reply to Final Office Action of April 4, 2005 and

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief of July 28, 2005

Please contact the undersigned at 860-930-3074 if you have any comments or questions.

Respectfully submitted,



Richard L. Bigelow, Esq.

Registration Number: 46,038

Phone: 860-930-3074

Attachment